



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

20050719

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

20050729

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

To Whom It May Concern:

Applicant is advised that the IDS dated February 15, 2005 has been fully considered, despite the incorrect labeling of the PTO 1449 included therein. The series of correspondance relevant to this situation are related below.

The numbering of the IDS dated February 15, 2005 implies that there are a total of 3 PTO 1449 sheets associated with the document; the document including only one PTO 1449 labeled as "Sheet 1 of 3." It was unclear to the examiner as to whether the sheet had been mislabeled or the other 2 sheets had been misplaced and/or not scanned in. The applicant's representative was contacted and a message left on Monday, July 25 at 11:45 a.m. On Tuesday, July 29, 2005, the assistant of the applicant's representative returned the examiner's call from Monday. The assistant explained that IDS in their files was only 4 total pages, including one PTO 1449. The 1449 had been mislabeled, and should read "Sheet 1 of 1." It was requested that applicant submit to statement confirming that the IDS on record was complete as submitted. Applicant agreed to submit a statement. On Thursday, July 29, 2005, examiner had not yet received a statement and contacted applicant. Applicant said the statement would be submitted later the same day. On Friday, July 29, 2005, the examiner had still not received a statement. Examiner completed the current communication in order to have the current Office Action mailed out to reduce delayed prosecution of the case.

The examiner has corrected and initialed the enclosed 1449.

A statement from the applicant concerning the status of the IDS is no longer necessary.

/KG/
Keshia Gibson
Patent Examiner, AU 3761
klg 7/29/05